	-					
1						
2	Michael W. Shore (pro hac vice to be filed)					
2	Joseph F. DePumpo(<i>pro hac vice</i> to be filed) Glenn E. Janik (<i>pro hac vice</i> to be filed)					
3	Justin B. Kimble (pro hac vice to be filed)					
4	SHORE CHAN BRAGALONE LLP					
·	Bank of America Plaza					
5	901 Main Street, Suite 3300	0				
6	Dallas, Texas 75202 214-593-9110 Telephone					
	214-593-9111 Facsimile					
7	shore@shorechan.com					
8	idepumpo@shorechan.com	→ (1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7	1			
9	gjanik@shorechan.com jkimble@shorechan.com					
9	jkimble(<i>a</i>)snorechan.com	ALL CALLES				
10	RONALD OINES (SB No. 145016)	<u> </u>				
11	RUTAN & TUCKER LLP					
	611 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400					
12	Costa Mesa, California 92626-1931 Telephone: (714) 641-5100					
13	Facsimile: (714) 546-9035					
~ 14	roines@rutan.com					
	Attorneys for Plaintiff CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY					
15	CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY					
16		DISTRICT COURT				
17	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA				
	CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF	CASE NO.: CV08-08637 MRP (VBKx)				
18	TECHNOLOGY	CASE NO				
19	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL				
20		COMPLAINT				
20	VS.					
21	CANON U.S.A., INC., CANON, INC.,					
22	NIKON, INC., NIKON CORP.,					
	OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC.,					
23	OLYMPUS CORP., PANASONIC CORP. OF NORTH AMERICA,					
24	PANASONIC CORP., SONY					
25	ELECTRONICS, INC., SONY CORP.,					
	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS					
26	AMERICA, INC., AND SAMSUNG					
27	ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.					
	Defendants.					
28						
e, LLP						

Shore Chan Bragalone, LLP attorneys at law

Plaintiff California Institute of Technology ("Plaintiff") brings this action for patent infringement against Canon U.S.A., Inc., Canon, Inc., Nikon, Inc., Nikon, Corp., Olympus America, Inc., Olympus Corp., Panasonic Corp. of North America, Panasonic Corp., Sony Electronics, Inc., Sony Corp., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., (collectively, "Defendants") as follows:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code. The Court's jurisdiction is proper under the above statutes, including 35 U.S.C. § 271 *et. seq.*, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over each Defendant. Each Defendant has conducted and does conduct business within the State of California. Each Defendant, directly or through intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others), ships, distributes, offers for sale, and sells its products in the United States, the State of California, and the Central District of California. Each Defendant has purposefully and voluntarily placed one or more of its infringing products, as described below, into the stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be purchased by consumers in the Central District of California. These infringing products have been and continue to be purchased by consumers in the Central District of California. Each Defendant has committed the tort of patent infringement within the State of California and, more particularly, within the Central District of California.
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d), as well as 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

II. THE PARTIES

4. Plaintiff California Institute of Technology ("Caltech") is a private university having a principal address of 1200 East California Boulevard, Pasadena, California 91125.

- 5. Upon information and belief, Canon U.S.A., Inc. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of New York, having its principal place of business at One Canon Plaza, Lake Success, New York 11042-1113. Canon U.S.A., Inc. is authorized to do business in California and may be served by serving its registered agent, CT Corporation System, 818 West Seventh St., Los Angeles, CA 90017.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Canon, Inc. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of Japan, having its principal place of business at 30-2 Shimomaruko 3 Chome, OHTA-KU, Tokyo 146-8501, Japan. Upon information and belief, Canon, Inc. is a nonresident of California that engages in business in this state, but does not maintain a regular place of business in this state or a designated agent for service of process in this state. Canon, Inc. may be served with process in Japan pursuant to the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents. Canon U.S.A., Inc. and Canon, Inc. will be collectively referred to as "Canon."
- 7. Upon information and belief, Nikon, Inc. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of New York, having its principal place of business at 1300 Walt Whitman Rd., Melville, New York 11747-3064. Nikon, Inc. is authorized to do business in California and may be served by serving its registered agent, CT Corporation System, 818 West Seventh St., Los Angeles, CA 90017.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Nikon Corp. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of Japan, having its principal place of business at Fuji Bldg., 2-3, Manrunouchi 3-chome, Chiyoda-Ku, Tokyo Japan 100-8331. Upon information and belief, Nikon Corp. is a nonresident of California that engages in business in this state, but does not maintain a regular place of business in this state or a designated agent for service of process in this state. Nikon Corp. may be served with process in Japan pursuant to the Hague

Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents. Nikon, Inc. and Nikon Corp. will be collectively referred to as "Nikon."

- 9. Upon information and belief, Olympus America, Inc. is, and at all relevant times mention herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of New York, having its principal place of business at 3500 Corporation Parkway, P.O. Box 610, Center Valley, Pennsylvania 18034. Olympus America, Inc. is authorized to do business in California and may be served by serving its registered agent, Corporate Services Co. d/b/a CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service, 2730 Gateway Oaks Dr., Ste. 100, Sacramento, CA 95833.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Olympus Corp. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of Japan, having its principal place of business at Shinjuku Monolith, 2-3-1 Nishi-Shinjuku, Shinjuku-Ku, Tokyo 163-0914, Japan. Upon information and belief, Olympus Corp. is a nonresident of California that engages in business in this state, but does not maintain a regular place of business in this state or a designated agent for service of process in this state. Olympus Corp. may be served with process in Japan pursuant to the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents. Olympus America, Inc. and Olympus Corp. will be collectively referred to as "Olympus."
- 11. Upon information and belief, Panasonic Corp. of North America is, and at all relevant times mentioned here was, a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, having its principal place of business at One Panasonic Way, 7I-1, Secaucus, New Jersey 07094. Panasonic Corp. of North America is authorized to do business in California and may be served by serving its registered agent, CT Corporation System, 818 West Seventh St., Los Angeles, CA 90017.
- 12. Upon information and belied, Panasonic Corp. is, and at all relevant time mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of Japan, having its principal place of business at 1006, Kadoma, Kadoma City, Osaka 571-8501,

Japan. Upon information and belief, Panasonic Corp. is a nonresident of California that engages in business in this state, but does not maintain a regular place of business in this state or a designated agent for service of process in this state. Panasonic Corp. may be served with process in Japan pursuant to the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents. Panasonic Corp. of North America and Panasonic Corp. will be collectively referred to as "Panasonic."

- 13. Upon information and belief, Sony Electronics, Inc. is, and at all relevant time mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 555 Madison Ave, 8th Floor, New York, New York 10022. Sony Electronics, Inc. is authorized to do business in California and may be served by serving its registered agent, Corporate Services Co. d/b/a CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service, 2730 Gateway Oaks Dr., Ste. 100, Sacramento, CA 95833.
- 14. Upon information and belief, Sony Corp. is, and at all relevant time mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of Japan, having its principal place of business at 7-1, Konan, 1-Chome, Minato-Ku, Tokyo Japan M0 108-0075. Upon information and belief, Sony Corp. is a nonresident of California that engages in business in this state, but does not maintain a regular place of business in this state or a designated agent for service of process in this state. Sony Corp. may be served with process in Japan pursuant to the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents. Sony Electronics, Inc. and Sony Corp. will be collectively referred to as "Sony."
- 15. Upon information and belief, Samsung Electronics America, Inc. is, and at all relevant time mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of New York, having its principal place of business at 105 Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660. Samsung Electronics America, Inc. is authorized to do business in California and may be served by serving its registered

agent, CT Corporation System, 818 West Seventh St., Los Angeles, CA 90017.

16. Upon information and belief, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. is, and at all relevant time mentioned herein was, a corporation organized under the laws of Korea, having its principal place of business at Samsung Main Building, 250, Taepyeongno 2-ga, Jung-gu, Seoul 100-742 Korea. Upon information and belief, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. is a nonresident of California that engages in business in this state, but does not maintain a regular place of business in this state or a designated agent for service of process in this state. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd may be served with process in Korea pursuant to the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents. Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd will be collectively referred to as "Samsung."

III. PATENTS-IN-SUIT

- 17. On November 23, 1999, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") issued U.S. Patent No. 5,990,506 entitled "Active Pixel Sensors with Substantially Planarized Color Filtering Elements" (hereinafter "the '506 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '506 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 18. On September 24, 2002, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 6,456,326 entitled "Single Chip Camera Having Double Sampling Operation" (hereinafter "the '326 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '326 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 19. On April 15, 2003, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 6,549,235 entitled "Single Substrate Camera Device with CMOS Image Sensor" (hereinafter "the '235 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '235 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 20. On April 29, 2004, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 6,555,842 entitled "Active Pixel Sensor with Intra-pixel Charge Transfer" (hereinafter "the '842 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '842 patent is attached hereto as

Exhibit D.

- 21. On May 27, 2003, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 6,570,617 entitled "CMOS Active Pixel Sensor Type Imaging System on a Chip" (hereinafter "the '617 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '617 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 22. On June 1, 2004, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 6,744,068 entitled "Active Pixel Sensor with Intra-pixel Charge Transfer" (hereinafter "the '068 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '068 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit F.
- 23. Collectively, the '506 patent, the '326 patent, the '235 patent, the '842 patent, the '617 patent, and the '068 patent are referred to as "the Caltech patents."
- 24. Caltech is the owner of all right, title, and interest in and to the Caltech patents by assignment, with full right to bring suit to enforce each of the patents, including the right to recover for past infringement damages.

IV. PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 25. Caltech repeats and re-alleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-24 as though fully set forth herein.
 - 26. The Caltech patents are valid and enforceable.
- 27. All requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 287 have been satisfied with respect to the Caltech patents.
- 28. Canon has been and is infringing the Caltech patents by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, products that fall within the scope of the claims of the Caltech patents, including but not limited to the products known as Canon EOS 50D, Canon EOS 40D, Canon EOS Digital Rebel XTI or 400D (E), Canon EOS Digital Rebel XT or 350D (E), Canon EOS 30D, Canon EOS 20D, Canon EOS 20Da, Canon EOS 10D, Canon EOS Digital Rebel or 300D (E), Canon EOS D60, Canon EOS-1D Mark III, Canon EOS-1D, Canon EOS-1D Mark II, Canon EOS 1D Mark III, Canon EOS-1Ds, Canon EOS-1Ds Mark III, Canon EOS 5D, Canon

- 29. Canon has been and is continuing to induce infringement of the Caltech patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and contributes to the infringement of the Caltech patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), in conjunction with such acts of making, using, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, instrumentalities that fall within the scope of one or more claims of each of the Caltech patents. The infringing instrumentalities have no substantial non-infringing uses.
- 30. Canon had and continues to have actual knowledge of the Caltech patents and their coverage of Canon's infringing instrumentalities, but has nonetheless engaged in the infringing conduct. Canon's infringement of the Caltech patents was and continues to be willful.
- 31. Nikon has been and is infringing the Caltech patents by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, products that fall within the scope of the claims of the Caltech patents, including but not limited to the products known as Nikon D300, Nikon D3, Nikon D2Xs, Nikon D2X, and Nikon D700.
- 32. Nikon has been and is continuing to induce infringement of the Caltech patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and contributes to the infringement of the Caltech patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), in conjunction with such acts of making, using, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, instrumentalities that fall within the scope of one or more claims of each of the Caltech patents. The infringing instrumentalities have no substantial non-infringing uses.
- 33. Nikon had and continues to have actual knowledge of the Caltech patents and their coverage of Nikon's infringing instrumentalities, but has

- 34. Olympus has been and is infringing the Caltech patents by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, products that fall within the scope of the claims of the Caltech patents, including but not limited to the products known as Olympus E-3, Olympus E-520, Olympus E-420, Olympus EVOLT E-510, Olympus EVOLT E-410 and Olympus EVOLT E-330.
- 35. Olympus has been and is continuing to induce infringement of the Caltech patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and contributes to the infringement of the Caltech patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), in conjunction with such acts of making, using, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, instrumentalities that fall within the scope of one or more claims of each of the Caltech patents. The infringing instrumentalities have no substantial non-infringing uses.
- 36. Olympus had and continues to have actual knowledge of the Caltech patents and their coverage of Olympus's infringing instrumentalities, but has nonetheless engaged in the infringing conduct. Olympus's infringement of the Caltech patents was and continues to be willful.
- 37. Panasonic has been and is infringing the Caltech patents by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, products that fall within the scope of the claims of the Caltech patents, including but not limited to the products known as Panasonic Lumix DMC-L10 and Panasonic Lumix DMC-L1.
- 38. Panasonic has been and is continuing to induce infringement of the Caltech patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and contributes to the infringement of the Caltech patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), in conjunction with such acts of making, using, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without

Shore Chan Bragaione LLP attornevs at law 7 8

10

9

11

13

12

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

attorneys at law

1 authority, instrumentalities that fall within the scope of one or more claims of each of the Caltech patents. The infringing instrumentalities have no substantial noninfringing uses.

- 39. Panasonic had and continues to have actual knowledge of the Caltech patents and their coverage of Panasonic's infringing instrumentalities, but has nonetheless engaged in the infringing conduct. Panasonic's infringement of the Caltech patents was and continues to be willful.
- 40. Sony has been and is infringing the Caltech patents by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, products that fall within the scope of the claims of the Caltech patents, including but not limited to the products known as Sony DSLR-A700, Sony DSC-R1, Sony HDR-HC7, Sony HDR-SR7E, Sony HDR-UX7, Sony HDR-UX7E, Sony HVR-HD1000U, Sony HDR-HC9, Sony HVR-Z7E, Sony HDR-HC5, Sony HDR-HC5E, Sony HDR-SR1, Sony HDR-SR5, Sony HDR-SR5E, Sony HDR-UX5, Sony HVR-A1U, Sony HDR-CX7, Sony HDR-SR7, Sony HDR-SR8, Sony HDR-CX12, Sony HDR-SR11, Sony, HDR-SR12, Sony V1U, Sony HDR-FX7, Sony HDR-FX7E, Sony HDR-SR10, Sony HDR-SR10D, Sony HDR-TG1, Sony HDR-UX10, and Sony HDR-UX20.
- 41. Sony has been and is continuing to induce infringement of the Caltech patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and contributes to the infringement of the Caltech patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), in conjunction with such acts of making, using, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, instrumentalities that fall within the scope of one or more claims of each of the Caltech patents. The infringing instrumentalities have no substantial non-infringing uses.
- 42. Sony had and continues to have actual knowledge of the Caltech patents and their coverage of Sony's infringing instrumentalities, but has nonetheless engaged in the infringing conduct. Sony's infringement of the Caltech

patents was and continues to be willful.

- 43. Samsung has been and is infringing the Caltech patents by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, products that fall within the scope of the claims of the Caltech patents, including but not limited to the products known as Samsung GX-20, Samsung SC-HMX10C, and Samsung SC-HMX20C.
- 44. Samsung has been and is continuing to induce infringement of the Caltech patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and contributes to the infringement of the Caltech patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), in conjunction with such acts of making, using, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, instrumentalities that fall within the scope of one or more claims of each of the Caltech patents. The infringing instrumentalities have no substantial non-infringing uses.
- 45. Samsung had and continues to have actual knowledge of the Caltech patents and their coverage of Samsung's infringing instrumentalities, but has nonetheless engaged in the infringing conduct. Samsung's infringement of the Caltech patents was and continues to be willful.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' acts of patent infringement, Caltech has been and continues to be injured and has sustained and will continue to sustain substantial damages.
- 47. Unless Defendants are enjoined by this Court from continuing their infringement of the Caltech patents, Caltech will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights.
- 48. Caltech has incurred and will incur attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses in the prosecution of this action. The circumstances of this dispute create an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, and Caltech is entitled to recover its reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses.

28 1//

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

2

Caltech prays for the following relief:

3 4

A judgment that each Defendant has infringed the Caltech patents as alleged herein, directly and/or indirectly by way of inducing or contributing to

6

infringement of the Caltech patents; 5 A judgment and order requiring each Defendant to pay Caltech В.

7

damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including treble damages for willful infringement

8 9 as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 284, and supplemental damages for any continuing postverdict infringement up until entry of the final judgment with an accounting as

10

11

13

15

16

needed:

A judgment and order requiring each Defendant to pay Caltech pre-

12 judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages awarded;

A judgment and order finding this to be an exceptional case and D.

requiring each Defendant to pay the costs of this action (including all

disbursements) and attorneys' fees as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285;

A preliminary and thereafter a permanent injunction against each Ε.

Defendant's direct infringement, active inducements of infringement, and/or

contributory infringement of the Caltech patents, as well as against each

19 Defendant's agents, employees, representatives, successors, and assigns, and those

20 acting in privity or in concert with them; and

21 111

22 111

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

attorneys at law

F. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable. 1 VI. **JURY DEMAND** 2 Caltech hereby demands that all issues be determined by jury. 3 Dated: December 31, 2008 **RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP** 4 **RONALD P. OINES** 5 SHORE CHAN BRAGALONE LLP 6 MICHAEL W. SHORE (pro hac vice to be filed) 7 JOSEPH F. DePUMPO (pro hac vice to be filed) GLENN E. JANIK(pro hac vice to be filed) 8 JUSTIN B. KIMBLE (pro hac vice to be filed) 9 10 By: 11 Plaintiff, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF 12 TECHNOLOGY 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Shore Chan Bragatone LLP attorneys at law

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself) CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY			CA OI OF IN	DEFENDANTS CANON U.S.A., INC., CANON, INC., NIKON, INC., NIKON CORP., OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC., OLYMPUS CORP., PANASONIC CORP. OF NORTH AMERICA, PANASONIC CORP., SONY ELECTRONICS, INC., SONY CORP., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.			
yourself, provide same.) Michael W. Shore (pr (pro hac vice to be filed), Justin B. Kimb SHORE CHAN BRA 901 Main Street, Ste. Dallas Texas 75202,	dress and Telephone Number. If yo hac vice to be filed), Jose ed), Glenn E. Janick (pro hale (pro hac vice to be filed) GALONE LLP, Bank of A 3300 Telephone: 214-593-9110 PAGE FOR CONTINUAT	eph F. ac vic) .meric	DePumpo ce to be	orneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	(Place an X in one box only.)			CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)			
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party		PTF DEF Citizen of This State PTF DEF I Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	t 4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz of Parties in Item III)	enship	Citizen of Another	State 2	2 2 Incorporated and of Business in A		
			Citizen or Subject	of a Foreign Country 3	☐ 3 Foreign Nation	□6 □6	
Noting I Original							
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLACLASS ACTION under F.R.C.I		s 🔲 N		if demanded in complaint. ONEY DEMANDED IN C		t to Proof	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite		ch vou					
Action under 35 U.S.C. So VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	ection 271 et seq. for infrin				o not one jurisuronema su	autos unicas diversity.)	
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT		TORTS	TORTS	PRISONER	LABOR	
400 State Reapportionment	110 Insurance	-	0 Airplane	PERSONAL ROPERTY	ETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate	710 Fair Labor Standards	
410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	120 Marine 130 Miller Act		5 Airplane Product	370 Other Fraud	Sentence Habeas	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt.	
450 Commerce/ICC	140 Negotiable Instrument		Liability	371 Truth in Lending	Corpus	Relations	
Rates/etc.	150 Recovery of	32	0 Assault, Libel &	380 Other Personal	530 General	730 Labor/Mgmt.	
460 Deportation	Overpayment &		Slander 0 Fed. Employers'	Property Damage		Reporting & Disclosure Act	
470 Racketeer Influenced	Enforcement of Judgment	L 33	Liability	385 Property Damage Product Liability	Other	740 Railway Labor Act	
and Corrupt Organizations	151 Medicare Act	34	0 Marine	BANKRUPTCY	550 Civil Rights	790 Other Labor	
480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of Defaulted	34	5 Marine Product	22 Appeal 28 USC	555 Prison Condition	Litigation	
490 Cable/Sat TV	Student Loan (Excl.	-	Liability	158	FORFEITURE /	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	
810 Selective Service	Veterans) 153 Recovery of		0 Motor Vehicle 5 Motor Vehicle	USC 157	PENALTY	Security Act PROPERTY RIGHTS	
■ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	Overpayment of		Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food &	☐ 820 Copyrights	
875 Customer Challenge 12	Veteran's Benefits	36	0 Other Personal	441 Voting	Drug	830 Patent	
USC 3410	160 Stockholders' Suits		Injury	442 Employment	☐ 625 Drug Related	840 Trademark	
890 Other Statutory Actions	190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product	L 30	2 Personal Injury- Med Malpractice	443 Housing/Acco- mmodations	Seizure of Property 21 USC	SOCIAL SECURITY	
891 Agricultural Act 892 Economic Stabilization	Liability	36	5 Personal Injury-	444 Welfare	881	☐ 61 HIA(1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	
Act	196 Franchise		Product Liability	445 American with	☐ 630 Liquor Laws	863 DIWC/DIWW	
☐ 893 Environmental Matters	REAL PROPERTY	LJ 36	8 Asbestos Personal	Disabilities -	640 R.R.& Truck	405(g))	
894 Energy Allocation Act	210 Land Condemnation		Injury Product Liability	Employment 446 American with	650 Airline Regs	864 SSID Title XVI	
895 Freedom of Info. Act	220 Foreclosure	П	MMIGRATION	Disabilities –	660 Occupational Safety /Health	865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	
900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	46	2 Naturalization	Other	690 Other	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	
Access to Justice	245 Tort Product Liability		Application	440 Other Civil		or Defendant)	
950 Constitutionality of State		46	3 Habeas Corpus- Alien Detainee	Rights		871 IRS-Third Party 26	
Statutes		46	5 Other Immigration			USC 7609	
			Actions				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: CV08-08637 MRP (VBKx)							

Case 2:08-cv. Note: Strate VBISTRIGT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT age C14-UTORNI Page ID #:14

CV-71 (05/08)

Case 2:08-cv/08569-MATES PISTRICTI GOURT, CENTRAL/DISTRICT ADECEMBRAGE ID #:15 CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):	this action been pro	eviously filed in this court an	nd dismissed, remanded or closed?		
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have f yes, list case number(s):	any cases been prev	viously filed in this court that	t are related to the present case? 🔀 No 🗌 Yes		
□ c.	Arise from the sam Call for determinat For other reasons w	e or closely related transaction of the same or substantial vould entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or Ily related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or t, <u>and</u> one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.		
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	•	,	• •		
(a) List the County in this District;Check here if the government,	California County of its agencies or empl	outside of this District; State oyees is a named plaintiff. If	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Los Angeles					
(b) List the County in this District; Check here if the government,	California County of ts agencies or empl	outside of this District; State oyees is a named defendant.	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).		
County in this District:*		W-0	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Each Defendant resides in Lo U.S.C. Section 1391(c)	os Angeles Cour	nty pursuant to 28			
c) List the County in this District; Note: In land condemnation c	California County of ases, use the locati	outside of this District; State on of the tract of land invol	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. Ived.		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Los Angeles					
Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernai Note: In land condemnation cases, us	rdino, Riverside, V se the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or set tract of land involved	San Luis Obispo Counties		
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	onald P. Oines	Date December 31, 2008		
or other papers as required by la	w. This form, approv	red by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3 -1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)		
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases	:			
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action		
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))			
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)			
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
864	SSID	All claims for supplementa Act, as amended.	al security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))			

CV-71 (05/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 2 of 2

American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkflow.com

California Institute of Technology v. Canon U.S.A., Inc., et al. Additional Page to Civil Case Coversheet Ronald P. Oines SBN 145016, roines@rutan.com RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP 611 Anton Boulevard, Fourteenth Floor Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Telephone: 714-641-5100 Facsimile: 714-546-9035 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Mariana P. Pfaelzer and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Victor B. Kenton.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

2:CV08- 8637 MRP (VBKx)

The United States District Judge assigned to this case will review all filed discovery motions and thereafter, on a case-by-case or motion-by-motion basis, may refer discovery related motions to the Magistrate Judge for hearing and determination

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

1 Filed 12/31/08 Page 18 of 19 Page ID #:18		
S DISTRICT COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA		
CASE NUMBER		
CV08-08637 MRP (VBKx)		
SUMMONS		
INC., NIKON, INC., NIKON CORP., OLYMPUS RP. OF NORTH AMERICA, PANASONIC CORP., SONY TRONICS AMERICA, AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS		
ou (not counting the day you received it), you complaint amended complaint le 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer hore Chan Bragalone LLP and Rutan & Tucker, LLP, exas 75202 and 611 Anton Boulevard, Fourteenth Floor, so, judgment by default will be entered against you for le your answer or motion with the court.		
Clerk, U.S. District Court By: Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court)		

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

California Institute of Technology v. Canon U.S.A., Inc., et al. Additional Page to Summons Ronald P. Oines SBN 145016, roines@rutan.com RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP 611 Anton Boulevard, Fourteenth Floor Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Telephone: 714-641-5100 Facsimile: 714-546-9035 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY